UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/534,856	05/13/2005	Georg Wanninger	1291.1000	8982
35236 7590 07/27/2007 THE CULBERTSON GROUP, P.C. 1114 LOST CREEK BLVD.			EXAMINER	
			ADAMS, GREGORY W	
SUITE 420 AUSTIN, TX 7	'8746		· ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3652	
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/534,856	WANNINGER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Gregory W. Adams	3652			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. It imply filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 June 2007</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 33-52 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 33-52 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the conference of the	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)		•			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/534,856 Page 2

Art Unit: 3652

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 39-40, 42-45 & 49-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wise (US 4,538,511) (previously cited).

With respect to claims, Wise discloses an apparatus including:

- (a) a rear wall with 37 a lower end and an upper end, a rear wall running in a direction Z which is essentially vertically aligned in an initial position of an apparatus;
- (b) a foot element 48, 91 in a first position adjacent to a lower end of a rear wall to support a product stack arranged on a first transport element, a foot element being movable between a first position (FIG. 5) and a second position (FIG. 14), a second position being relatively further from an upper end of a rear wall as compared to a first position;
- (c) a fastening cover 45 located at an upper end of a rear wall, a fastening cover being moveable relative to a first position of a foot element for clamping a product stack in a direction Z between a fastening cover and a foot element; and
- (d) a first clamping jaw 54 located at a right side of a rear wall and a second clamping jaw 54 located at a left side of the rear wall, a first clamping jaw and

Application/Control Number: 10/534,856 Page 3

Art Unit: 3652

second clamping jaw each being moveable independently of a foot element and fastening cover to clamp a product stack between a right side of a product stack and a left side of a product stack.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 33-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Postlewaite (US 3,123,232) (previously cited) in view of Moen (US 4,696,614).

With respect to claims 33, 34, 35, 37, 38, Postlewaite discloses a method for changing a transport element under a stack of products, the method including:

- (a) fastening a product stack between a first transport element 12 at a lower end of a product stack and a fastening cover 56 at an upper end of a product stack opposite a lower end, a product stack resting in an initial position (FIG. 2) on a first transport element and a first transport element lying on a foot element 36;
- (d) replacing a first transport element with a second transport element;
- (e) after a first transport element is released and replaced with a second transport element, displacing a foot element back toward a lower end of a product stack to place a second transport element against a lower end of the product stack; and

(f) after a second transport element is placed against a lower end of a product stack and removing a cover.

Postlewaite does not disclose the clamping a stack between two opposite side surface clamping jaws. Moen discloses-

- (b) independently of fastening a product stack between a first transport element and a fastening cover, clamping a product stack between at least two additional opposite side surfaces thereof with two clamping jaws P;
- (c) while maintaining a product stack clamped with two clamping jaws P,
 displacing a foot element away from a lower end of the product stack so that
 a first transport element is released; and
- (f) removing clamping jaws P from opposite side surfaces and removing Moen teaches improved side clamping prevents damage to a stack while maintaining said stack in alignment during rotation of full pallet loads. C1. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Postlewaite to include side clamps, as per the teachings of Moen, to reduce box damage during pallet to pallet transfer of stacked loads.

With respect to claim 36, Postlewaite discloses a product stack that rests against a rear wall 33, 47 when in the tilted position, a rear wall being separable in a plane defined thereby into two rear wall elements, and further including separating a two rear wall elements when a product stack is in a tilted position to divide a stack.

Claims 41 & 47-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wise in view of Postlewaite (US 3,123,232) (previously cited).

With respect to claim 41, Wise does not disclose two real wall elements.

Postlewaite discloses two rear wall elements 33, 47 movable relative to one another to move a transport element such that a stack of different materials, types or ownerships may be transferred from one pallet to another. C1. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Wise to include two rear wall elements movable relative to one another, as per the teachings of Postlewaite, to improve on pallet to pallet transfer.

With respect to claims 47-48 Wise does not disclose 180 degrees of tilting via a drive. Postlewaite discloses a drive 30, 31 to rotate about 120 degrees to 200 degrees such that none of the weight rests on a pallet 12 such that various pallet owners may receive their respective pallet. C1. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Wise to include Postelwaite's drive and 180 degrees of tilt to allow owners to receive their respective pallet type with their respective load.

Claim 46 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wise in view of Thompson et al. (US 3,724,089) (previously cited). Wise does not disclose a vibrating element. Thompson et al. disclose a vibrating element 82 to aid in alignment of stacked items in a reclined position greatly enhancing the edge-aligning feature.

C4/L15. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Wise to include a vibrating element, as per the teachings of Thompson et al., to improve on edge alignment of stacked goods.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 33-52 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Applicant canceled previously examined claims 17-32.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory W. Adams whose telephone number is (571) 272-8101. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, 8:30am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Saul Rodriguez can be reached on (571) 272-7097. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

GWA

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER